

FOCUS - 1 of 1 DOCUMENT

**ROSALIND STECK WHITE, individually and on behalf of MARKETING PRODUCTIONS, INC., f/k/a Mall Productions, Inc., a Florida corporation, Appellants, v. JUNE L. WATTS, and MARKETING PRODUCTIONS, INC., f/k/a Mall Productions, Inc., a Florida corporation, Appellees.**

Case No. 97-04388

COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, SECOND DISTRICT

*716 So. 2d 293; 1998 Fla. App. LEXIS 8515; 23 Fla. L. Weekly D 1684*

July 17, 1998, Opinion Filed

**SUBSEQUENT HISTORY:** **[\*\*1]** Released for Publication September 3, 1998.

**PRIOR HISTORY:** Appeal from the Circuit Court for Pinellas County; Crockett Farnell, Judge.

**DISPOSITION:** Reversed.

**COUNSEL:** Marcus A. Castillo of Haas & Castillo, P. A., Clearwater, Raymond T. Elligett, Jr., of Schropp, Buell & Elligett, P. A., Tampa, and Walter E. Aye of Walter Edwards Aye, P.A., Tampa, for Appellants.

Susan W. Fox and Josh Magidson of MacFarlane, Ferguson & McMullen, Tampa, for Appellees.

**JUDGES:** CASANUEVA, Judge. PATTERSON, A.C.J., and ALTENBERND, J., Concur.

**OPINIONBY:** CASANUEVA

**OPINION:** [\*294] CASANUEVA, Judge.

Rosalind Steck White, the plaintiff who brought a shareholder's derivative suit, appeals an order enforcing a settlement agreement with June L. Watts and Marketing Productions, Inc. We reverse because the trial court lacked jurisdiction over the corporation.

Ms. White filed this action in January, 1996. To meet the requirements of a derivative action the complaint named the corporation and was verified by Ms. White. Although the corporation was a named defendant, Ms. White never served it. In a shareholder's derivative action, the corporation is an indispensable party defendant. See *Alario v. Miller*, 354 **[\*\*2]** So. 2d 925 (Fla. 2d DCA 1978). Without completed service of process, there is no personal jurisdiction over the corporation.

Because no jurisdiction was obtained over the defendant corporation, no judgment could be entered against it.

Reversed.

PATTERSON, A.C.J., and ALTENBERND, J., Concur.